arXiv:1605.05270v1 [nucl-th] 17 May 2016

Electric quadrupole transitions of the Bohr Hamiltonian with Manning-Rosen potential

M. Chabab[a], A. El Batoul[b], A. Lahbas[c], M. Oulne[d*]

High Energy Physics and Astrophysics Laboratory, Faculty of Science Semlalia,
Cadi Ayyad University, P.O.B. 2390, Marrakesh,Morocco
[a] mchabab@uca.ma
[b] elbatoul.abdelwahed@edu.uca.ma
[c] alaaeddine.lahbas@edu.uca.ma
[d*] corresponding author oulne@uca.ma

May 18, 2016

Abstract

Analytical expressions of the wave functions are derived for a Bohr Hamiltonian with the Manning–Rosen potential in the cases of γ-unstable nuclei and axially symmetric prolate deformed ones with $\gamma \approx 0$. By exploiting the results we have obtained in a recent work on the same theme Ref. [1], we have calculated the $B(E2)$ transition rates for 34 γ-unstable and 38 rotational nuclei and compared to experimental data, revealing a qualitative agreement with the experiment and phase transitions within the ground state band and showing also that the Manning–Rosen potential is more appropriate for such calculations than other potentials.

1 Introduction

The advent of critical point symmetries in nuclear structure has motivated the search for adequate approaches with different potentials within the Bohr-Mottelson model [2, 3] for describing nuclei which are close to or away from these symmetries. Among these symmetries, one can cite for example the critical point symmetry E(5) [4] which allows describing the second order phase transition between vibrational and γ-unstable nuclei and the X(5) [5] critical point symmetry corresponding to the first order phase transition between vibrational and axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei. In this context, different potential models have been used such as infinite square well potential [4, 6], Morse potential [7, 8], Kratzer potential [9, 10], Davidson potential [11, 12], Sextic potential[13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], Quartic potential [20], Woods-Saxon [21], Hulthen potential [22]...etc. Recently, we have used the Manning-Rosen potential to calculate energy spectra and the ground state bandhead $R_{4/2} = E(4_g^+)/E(2_g^+)$ ratios, as well as those of the β and γ bandheads, normalized to the 2_g^+ for nuclei with a mass number $100 < A < 250$ [1]. The corresponding energy formula has been obtained in closed analytical form by means of the asymptotic iteration method [23, 24, 25] as well as the corresponding normalized wave functions. This method has proved to be a useful tool when dealing with physical problems involving Schrödinger-type equations [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. In order to extend that work to include transition probabilities calculations, in this paper we exploit the already

obtained normalized wave functions [1] to evaluate transition rates in the different bands for several γ-unstable and axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei. The obtained numerical results are in a good agreement with the experimental data and are generally better than those obtained by Bonatsos et al. using Morse [8], Kratzer [10] and Davidson potentials [12] for the same nuclei.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the normalized wave functions are constructed and the electric quadrupole transition rates are calculated for γ-unstable and axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei, while Section 3 is devoted to the numerical calculations of the $B(E2)$ transition probabilities along with their comparisons with the experimental data and with results from other models. Finally, Section 4 contains the conclusion.

2 B(E2) Transition rates

2.1 The γ-unstable nuclei

The original collective Bohr Hamiltonian is given by [2]

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2B}\left[\frac{1}{\beta^4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\beta^4\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2\sin 3\gamma}\frac{1}{\partial\gamma}\sin 3\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} - \frac{1}{4\beta^2}\sum_k \frac{Q_k^2}{\sin^2(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\pi k)}\right] + V(\beta,\gamma) \quad (1)$$

where β and γ are the usual collective coordinates, $Q_k(k = 1, 2, 3)$ are the components of angular momentum in the intrinsic frame, and B is the mass parameter.

In the case of γ-unstable potentials, we assume that the potential $V(\beta,\gamma)$ depends only on the coordinate β, i.e. $V(\beta,\gamma) = U(\beta)$. This kind of potentials are appropriate to describe the nuclei which can depart from axial symmetry without any energy cost. The total wave function can be constructed as [31]

$$\Psi(\beta,\gamma,\theta_i) = \xi(\beta)\Phi(\gamma,\theta_i) \quad (2)$$

where $\theta_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the Euler angles. By introducing the reduced energy $\epsilon = 2BE/\hbar^2$ and reduced potentials $u = 2BV/\hbar^2$, the corresponding Schrödinger equation can be separately written as

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\beta^4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\beta^4\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} + u(\beta) + \frac{\tau(\tau+3)}{\beta^2}\right]\xi(\beta) = \epsilon\xi(\beta) \quad (3)$$

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\sin 3\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma}\sin 3\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_k \frac{Q_k^2}{\sin^2(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\pi k)}\right]\Phi(\gamma,\theta_i) = \tau(\tau+3)\Phi(\gamma,\theta_i) \quad (4)$$

where τ is the seniority quantum number, characterizing the irreducible representation of SO(5) and taking the values $\tau = 0, 1, 2, ...$ [32]. The last equation has been solved by Bés [33] long time ago.

Concerning the radial part (3), the Rosen-Manning potential $u(\beta)$ [34] is used

$$u(\beta) = -\left(1 - \frac{e^{\beta_0\alpha} - 1}{e^{\beta\alpha} - 1}\right)^2 \quad (5)$$

where α is a screening parameter characterizing the range of the potential and the parameter β_0 indicates the position of the minimum of the potential leading to the energy spectrum [1]

$$\epsilon_{n,\tau} = -\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\left(\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2 - (A+2)^2\right)\left(\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2 - A^2\right)}{\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2}\right] \quad (6)$$

where n is the principal quantum number, and

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \tau(\tau+3) + \frac{A^2}{\alpha^2}},\ A = e^{\alpha\beta_0} - 1 \tag{7}$$

To determine the radial wave function one needs the parametrization [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\beta) &= \beta^{-2}\chi(\beta), & y &= e^{-\alpha\beta}, \\ \chi(y) &= y^{\nu}(1-y)^{\mu}f(y), & \nu &= \frac{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_{n,\tau}}}{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

leading to

$$f''(y) = \frac{(1+2\mu+2\nu)y - (1+2\nu)}{y(1-y)}f'(y) + \frac{\alpha^2(\nu+\mu)^2 + \epsilon - e^{2\alpha\beta_0}}{\alpha^2 y(1-y)}f(y) \tag{9}$$

The solution of this equation is found to be

$$f(y) = N_{n,\tau}\ {}_2F_1(-n, n+2\mu+2\nu; 1+2\nu; y) \tag{10}$$

where ${}_2F_1$ are hyper-geometrical functions and $N_{n,\tau}$ is a normalization constant.

Therefore, according to the relation between hyper-geometrical functions and the generalized Jacobi polynomials [35] the radial wave function in the γ-unstable case can be written as,

$$\chi(z) = N_{n,\tau}2^{-\nu-\mu}\frac{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+2\nu)}{\Gamma(1+n+2\nu)}(1-z)^{\nu}(1+z)^{\mu}P_n^{(2\nu,2\mu-1)}(z),\ z = 1-2y \tag{11}$$

The normalization constant computed via the normalization condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty}\xi^2(\beta)\beta^4 d\beta &= \int_0^{\infty}\chi^2(\beta)d\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{1}{1-z}\chi^2(z)dz = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using the usual orthogonality relation of Jacobi polynomials (see Eqs. (7.391.1) and (7.391.5) of [36]) we get

$$N_{n,\tau} = \left(\frac{\mu+n}{2\alpha n!\nu(\nu+\mu+n)}\right)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{\big(\Gamma(2\nu+1)\Gamma(1+n)\big)^2}{\Gamma(2\nu+1+n)}\frac{\Gamma(2\mu+n)}{\Gamma(2\nu+2\mu+n)}\right)^{-1/2} \tag{13}$$

Having the expression of the total wave function, one can easily compute the $B(E2)$ transition rates. In the general case the quadrupole operator is defined as [31]

$$T_M^{(E2)} = t\alpha_2 = t\beta\left[\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_{M,0}(\theta_i)\cos\gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_{M,2}(\theta_i) + \mathcal{D}^{(2)}_{M,-2}(\theta_i)\Big)\sin\gamma\right] \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\theta_i)$ denotes the Wigner functions of Euler angles and t is a scale factor.

The $B(E2)$ transition rates from an initial to a final state are given by [37]

$$\begin{aligned} B(E2; s_i, L_i \to s_f, L_f) &= \frac{5}{16\pi}\frac{|\left\langle s_f, L_f \,||\, T^{(E2)} \,||\, s_i, L_i\right\rangle|^2}{(2L_i+1)} \\ &= \frac{2L_f+1}{2L_i+1}B(E2; s_f, L_f \to s_i, L_i) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where s denotes quantum numbers other than the angular momentum L. Then, the full symmetrized wavefunction is written from equation (2) as

$$\Psi(\beta,\gamma,\theta_i)=\beta^{-2}\chi_{n,\tau}(\beta)\Phi_\tau(\gamma,\theta_i) \tag{16}$$

The radial function $\chi(\beta)$ is given by Eq. (11), while the angular functions $\Phi_\tau(\gamma,\theta_i)$ have the form [33]

$$\Phi_\tau(\gamma,\theta_i)=\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{(2\tau+3)!!}{\tau!}}\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\beta^2}\right)^\tau \tag{17}$$

where α_2 is defined in Eq. (14). From Eqs. (15) and (17) one obtains [38]

$$B(E2;L_{n,\tau}\to(L+2)_{n',\tau+1})=\frac{(\tau+1)(4\tau+5)}{(2\tau+5)(4\tau+1)}t^2I^2_{n',\tau+1;n,\tau} \tag{18}$$

with

$$I_{n',\tau+1;n,\tau}=\int_0^\infty \beta\xi_{n',\tau+1}(\beta)\xi_{n,\tau}(\beta)\beta^4d\beta \tag{19}$$

In the special case of the Manning-Rosen potential and by using the eigenfunctions shown in Eq. (11), the integrals of Eq. (19) take the form

$$I_{n',\tau+1;n,\tau}=\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\frac{\ln\frac{1-z}{2}}{1-z}\right)\chi_{n',\tau+1}(z)\chi_{n,\tau}(z)dz \tag{20}$$

2.2 The axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei

Exact separation of the variables β and γ may be achieved when the reduced potential is chosen as in Refs. [7, 10, 12, 18, 31, 39] $v(\beta,\gamma)=u(\beta)+w(\gamma)/\beta^2$. For the γ-part, we use a harmonic oscillator potential [5, 12] $w(\gamma)=(3c)^2\gamma^2$. In the same context, we consider a wave function of the form [5]

$$\Psi(\beta,\gamma,\theta_i)=F_L(\beta)\eta_K(\gamma)\mathcal{D}^L_{M,K}(\theta_i) \tag{21}$$

L is the the total angular momentum, where M and K are the eigenvalues of the projections of angular momentum on the laboratory fixed x-axis and the body-fixed x'-axis respectively.

By solving the γ-vibrational part of the Schrödinger equation following method of [40], the γ angular wave functions can be written as [40]

$$\eta_{n_\gamma,K}=N_{n_\gamma,K}\ \gamma^{|K/2|}\ e^{-\frac{3c\gamma^2}{2}}L^{|K/2|}_{\tilde{n}_\gamma}\Big(3c\gamma^2\Big) \tag{22}$$

with $\tilde{n}_\gamma=\frac{n_\gamma-|K/2|}{2}$ where n_γ is the quantum number related to γ oscillations, while $L^{K/2}_{\tilde{n}_\gamma}$ represents the Laguerre polynomial and $N_{\gamma,K}$ the normalization constant, determined from the normalization condition

$$\int_0^{\pi/3}\eta^2_{n_\gamma,K}(\gamma)|\sin 3\gamma|d\gamma=1 \tag{23}$$

In the case of small γ vibration $|\sin 3\gamma|\simeq|3\gamma|$, then the integral Eq. (23) is easily calculated by using Eq. (8.980) of [36].
This leads to

$$N_{n_\gamma,K}=\left[\frac{2}{3}(3c)^{1+|K/2|}\frac{\tilde{n}_\gamma!}{\Gamma(\tilde{n}_\gamma+|K/2|+1)}\right]^{1/2} \tag{24}$$

The normalization constants for the $(n_\gamma, K) = (0, 0)$ and $(n_\gamma, K) = (1, 2)$ states are found to be

$$N_{0,0}^2 = 2c, \qquad N_{1,2}^2 = 6c^2 \tag{25}$$

then $\frac{N_{1,2}^2}{N_{0,0}^2} = 3c$, this result will be used to calculate the $B(E2)$ values in $\gamma \longrightarrow$ ground and $\gamma \longrightarrow \beta$ transitions ($\Delta K = 2$).

In the prolate axial rotor case the energy spectrum is obtained as [1]

$$\epsilon_{n,L} = -\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\left(\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2 - (A+2)^2\right)\left(\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2 - A^2\right)}{\alpha^2(\mu+n)^2}\right] \tag{26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mu =& \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \Lambda + \frac{L(L+1)}{3} + \frac{A^2}{\alpha^2}},\ A = e^{\alpha\beta_0} - 1 \\ \Lambda =& (6c)(n_\gamma + 1) - \frac{K^2}{3}\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

To determine the radial wave function one needs the parametrization [1]

$$\begin{aligned}&\xi_{n,L}(\beta) = \beta^{-2}\chi_{n,L}(\beta), && y = e^{-\alpha\beta}, \\ &\chi_{n,L}(y) = y^{\nu_{n,L}}(1-y)^\mu f_{n,L}(y), && \nu_{n,L} = \frac{\sqrt{1-\epsilon_{n,L}}}{\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

leading to

$$f''_{n,L}(y) = \frac{(1 + 2\mu + 2\nu_{n,L})y - (1 + 2\nu_{n,L})}{y(1-y)} f'_{n,L}(y) + \frac{\alpha^2(\nu_{n,L} + \mu)^2 + \epsilon - e^{2\alpha\beta_0}}{\alpha^2 y(1-y)} f_{n,L}(y) \tag{29}$$

The radial wave functions are found to be

$$f_{n,L}(y) = N_{n,L}\ {}_2F_1(-n, n + 2\mu + 2\nu_{n,L}; 1 + 2\nu_{n,L}; y) \tag{30}$$

while ${}_2F_1$ are hyper-geometrical functions, while $N_{n,L}$ are a normalization constant determined from the normalization condition,

$$\int_0^\infty \xi^2(\beta)\beta^4 d\beta = 1 \tag{31}$$

with

$$N_{n,L} = \left(\frac{\mu + n}{2\alpha n!\nu_{n,L}(\nu_{n,L} + \mu + n)}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\left(\Gamma(2\nu_{n,L} + 1)\Gamma(1 + n)\right)^2}{\Gamma(2\nu_{n,L} + 1 + n)} \frac{\Gamma(2\mu + n)}{\Gamma(2\nu_{n,L} + 2\mu + n)}\right)^{-1/2} \tag{32}$$

The $B(E2)$ transition rates for axially deformed nuclei around $\gamma = 0$ read [41]

$$B(E2; nLn_\gamma K \longrightarrow n'L'n'_\gamma K') = \frac{5}{16\pi} t^2 \langle L, K, 2, K' - K | L', K' \rangle^2 I^2_{n,L;n',L'} C^2_{n_\gamma, K; n'_\gamma, K'} \tag{33}$$

with

$$I_{n,L;n',L'} = \int_0^\infty \beta \xi_{n',L'}(\beta)\xi_{n,L}(\beta)\beta^4 d\beta$$
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\frac{\ln\frac{1-z}{2}}{1-z}\right)\chi_{n',L'}(z)\chi_{n,L}(z)dz \tag{34}$$

$C_{n_\gamma K, n'_\gamma K'}$ contains the integral over γ. For $\Delta K = 0$ corresponding to transitions ($g \to g, \gamma \to \gamma, \beta \to \beta$ and $\beta \to g$), the γ-integral part reduces to the orthonormality condition of the γ-wave functions : $C_{n_\gamma,K;n'_\gamma,K'} = \delta_{n_\gamma,n'_\gamma}\delta_{K,K'}$. While for $\Delta K = 2$ corresponding to transitions ($\gamma \to g, \gamma \to \beta$), this integral takes the form.

$$C_{n_\gamma,K;n'_\gamma,K'} = \int_0^{\pi/3} \sin\gamma \eta_{n_\gamma K}\eta_{n'_\gamma K'}|\sin 3\gamma| d\gamma \tag{35}$$

Using the approximation $|\sin 3\gamma| \approx 3|\gamma|$ and Eq. (22) the last integral becomes

$$C_{n_\gamma,K;n'_\gamma,K'} = \frac{2(3c)^{1+|K|/4+|K'|/4}}{(\Gamma(|K/2|+1)\Gamma(|K'/2|+1))^{1/2}}\int_0^{\pi/3}\gamma^{2+\frac{|K'|+|K|}{2}}e^{-3c\gamma^2}d\gamma \tag{36}$$

where the Laguerre polynomials are unity since $\tilde{n}_\gamma = 0$. For the $(n'_\gamma = 1, K' = 2) \to (n_\gamma, K = 0)$ transition, Eq.(36) is found to be

$$C_{0,0;1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3c}} \tag{37}$$

3 Numerical results

In Table (1) and Table (2) are given the values of the free parameters of the present problem, namely : the Manning-Rosen potential parameters β_0 and α and the harmonic oscillator potential parameter c for 34 γ-unstable and 38 axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei respectively which are subject of the present study. These parameters have been already obtained in our previous work [1] by fitting the energy spectra to experimental data. We should notice here that such a fitting does not concern the transition probabilities. In Table (3) and Table (4), we present our results for transition rates $B(E2)$ for γ-unstable and axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei respectively. In the same tables are shown the experimental data for comparison. One can see, from those tables, that there is an overall agreement between our predictions and the experiment. Moreover, if we compare our results with those of Bonatsos et al. obtained with Morse [8], Davidson [12] or Kratzer [10] potentials, one can see from those tables that our predictions are generally better. This fact could be observed from the comparison of the root mean square (rms) deviation given by :

$$rms = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\frac{B(E2,L_i\to L_f)_j^{(exp)}}{B(E2,2_g\to 0_g)^{(exp)}} - \frac{B(E2,L_i\to L_f)_j^{(th)}}{B(E2,2_g\to 0_g)^{(th)}}\right]^2}. \tag{38}$$

where N denotes the total number of points involved in rms, while $B(E2,L_i\to L_f)_j^{(exp)}$ and $B(E2,L_i\to L_f)_j^{(th)}$ represent the theoretical and experimental transition rates from an initial to a final state, respectively. $B(E2,2_g\to 0_g)$ is the transition between the first-excited state



Figure 1: (Color online) The percentage of the best value of the rms (38) in Tables (3-4) obtained by Manning-Rosen $V_{MR}(\beta)$ [1], Kratzer $V_K(\beta)$ [10], Davidson $V_D(\beta)$ [12] and Morse $V_M(\beta)$ [8] potentials, in the γ-unstable case (left) and the rotational case (right).



Figure 2: (Color online) Evolution of Kratzer $V_K(\beta)$ (a) [10], Morse $V_M(\beta)$ (b) [8], Manning-Rosen $V_{MR}(\beta)$ (c) [1] and Davidson $V_D(\beta)$ (d) [12] potentials, for ^{118}Cd and ^{162}Gd nuclei. The quantities shown are dimensionless.

and the ground state. It comes out from this comparison that over the 34 γ-unstable and 38 axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei subject of this study, nearly 60% are very well reproduced by the Manning-Rosen potential in both cases as can be seen from Figure 1.

The quality of our results in respect to those of Bonatsos et al. [8, 12, 10] is related to the shape of the used potential. Indeed, it has been shown [8] that if a considered potential increases rapidly for large values of β, this leads to large spacings in the β band. From Figure (2), one can observe that for large values of β, the Manning-Rosen potential becomes flatter than Morse and Kratzer potentials, while the Davidson potential is growing as β^2. In addition, it should be stressed that the number of free parameters used in our calculations is the same as that used in Bonatsos et al. ones, namely : two parameters in the γ-unstable case and three parameters in the axially symmetric prolate deformed case. Also, the same experimental data have been used in both calculations.

In the case of γ-unstable nuclei, some inter-band transitions are systematically underestimated by all models presented in Table (3), while in the rotational nuclei some inter-band are systematically overestimated also by all models shown in Table (4). But, in both cases our results are generally better in comparison with the other models.

4 Conclusion

In the present work, we have extended our previous calculations [1] within the Bohr Hamiltonian with the Manning-Rosen potential as the β-part of the nuclear collective potential, while the γ-part was described by a harmonic oscillator potential, to include transition rates in the three bands, namely : the ground state, the β and γ bands of 34 γ-unstable and 38 axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei. From the comparison of our results with the experimental data and the theoretical predictions of other authors which have been obtained with Davidson, Kratzer and Morse potentials, it comes out that our results are better for nearly 60% of the studied nuclei, while the calculation with the other potentials succeeded to reproduce less than 30% of such nuclei in the best case. So, it seems that the Manning-Rosen potential is more suitable for such calculations thanks to its shape which is flatter for large values of the β collective coordinate in respect to the other potentials.

References

[1] M. Chabab, A. Lahbas, and M. Oulne, "Closed analytical solutions of bohr hamiltonian with manning-rosen potential model," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, vol. 24, no. 11, p. 1550089, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0218301315500895

[2] A. Bohr, "quadrupole degree of freedom for the nuclear shape," *Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk*, vol. 26, pp. 1–5, 1952.

[3] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure Vol. II: Nuclear Deformations*. Benjamin, New York, 1975.

[4] F. Iachello, "Dynamic symmetries at the critical point," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 85, no. 17, p. 3580, 2000.

[5] ——, "Analytic description of critical point nuclei in a spherical-axially deformed shape phase transition," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 87, no. 5, p. 052502, 2001.

[6] R. Budaca and A. Budaca, "Conjunction of γ-rigid and γ-stable collective motions in the critical point of the phase transition from spherical to deformed nuclear shapes," *J. Phys. G:*, vol. 42, no. 8, p. 085103, 2015.

[7] I. Boztosun, D. Bonatsos, and I. Inci, "Analytical solutions of the bohr hamiltonian with the morse potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 77, p. 044302, 2008. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.044302

[8] I. Inci, D. Bonatsos, and I. Boztosun, "Electric quadrupole transitions of the bohr hamiltonian with the morse potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 84, p. 024309, 2011. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024309

[9] L. Fortunato, "Solutions of the bohr hamiltonian, a compendium," *Eur. Phys. J. A*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–30, 2005. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjad/i2005-07-115-8

[10] D. Bonatsos, P. E. Georgoudis, N. Minkov, D. Petrellis, and C. Quesne, "Bohr hamiltonian with a deformation-dependent mass term for the kratzer potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 88, p. 034316, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034316

[11] D. Bonatsos, E. A. McCutchan, N. Minkov, R. F. Casten, P. Yotov, D. Lenis, D. Petrellis, and I. Yigitoglu, "Exactly separable version of the bohr hamiltonian with the davidson potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 76, p. 064312, 2007. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.064312

[12] D. Bonatsos, P. E. Georgoudis, D. Lenis, N. Minkov, and C. Quesne, "Bohr hamiltonian with a deformation-dependent mass term for the davidson potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 83, p. 044321, 2011. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044321

[13] G. Lévai and J. Arias, "The sextic oscillator as a γ-independent potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 69, no. 1, p. 014304, 2004.

[14] ——, "Search for critical-point nuclei in terms of the sextic oscillator," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 81, no. 4, p. 044304, 2010.

[15] P. Buganu and R. Budaca, "Sextic potential for-rigid prolate nuclei," *J. Phys. G:*, vol. 42, no. 10, p. 105106, 2015.

[16] P. Buganu, A. Raduta, and A. Faessler, "New features of the triaxial nuclei described with a coherent state model," *J. Phys. G:*, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 025103, 2012.

[17] A. A. Raduta and P. Buganu, "Toward a new description of triaxial nuclei," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 83, p. 034313, 2011. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.034313

[18] ——, "Application of the sextic oscillator with a centrifugal barrier and the spheroidal equation for some x (5) candidate nuclei," *J. Phys. G:*, vol. 40, no. 2, p. 025108, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://stacks.iop.org/0954-3899/40/i=2/a=025108

[19] ——, "Description of the isotope chain ^{180}-196pt within several solvable approaches," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 88, p. 064328, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.064328

[20] R. Budaca, "Quartic oscillator potential in the γ-rigid regime of the collective geometrical model," *Eur. Phys. J. A*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1–9, 2014.

[21] M. Çapak, D. Petrellis, B. Gönül, and D. Bonatsos, "Analytical solutions for the bohr hamiltonian with the woods–saxon potential," *J. Phys. G:*, vol. 42, no. 9, p. 095102, 2015.

[22] M. Chabab, A. Lahbas, and M. Oulne, "Bohr hamiltonian with hulthén plus ring-shaped potential for triaxial nuclei," *Eur. Phys. J. A*, vol. 51, no. 10, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2015-15131-y

[23] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, and N. Saad, "Asymptotic iteration method for eigenvalue problems," *J. Phys. A:*, vol. 36, no. 47, p. 11807, 2003. [Online]. Available: http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/36/i=47/a=008

[24] ——, "Construction of exact solutions to eigenvalue problems by the asymptotic iteration method," *J. Phys. A:*, vol. 38, no. 5, p. 1147, 2005.

[25] I. Boztosun and M. Karakoc, "An improvement of the asymptotic iteration method for exactly solvable eigenvalue problems," *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 11, p. 3028, 2007.

[26] M. Chabab and M. Oulne, "Exact solutions of klein gordon equation for the makarov potential with the asymptotic iteration method," *Int. Rev. Phys.*, vol. 4, pp. 331–334, 2010.

[27] M. Chabab, R. Jourdani, and M. Oulne, "Exact solutions for vibrational states with generalized q-deformed morse potential within the asymptotic iteration method," *Int. J. Phys. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 1150–1154, 2012.

[28] M. Chabab, A. Lahbas, and M. Oulne, "Analytic l-state solutions of the klein–gordon equation for q-deformed woods–saxon plus generalized ring shape potential for the two cases of equal and different mixed vector and scalar potentials," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, vol. 21, no. 10, p. 1250087, 2015/03/14 2012. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218301312500875

[29] M. Chabab, A. El Batoul, and M. Oulne, "Exact solutions of deformed schrödinger equation with a class of non-central physical potentials," *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 56, no. 6, p. 062111, 2015.

[30] ——, "Closed analytical solutions of the d-dimensional schrödinger equation with deformed woods-saxon potential plus double ring-shaped potential," *Z. Naturforsch. A*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 2016.

[31] L. Wilets and M. Jean, "Surface oscillations in even-even nuclei," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 102, no. 3, p. 788, 1956.

[32] G. Rakavy, "The classification of states of surface vibrations," *Nuclear Physics*, vol. 4, pp. 289–294, 1957.

[33] D. R. Bés, "The γ-dependent part of the wave functions representing γ-unstable surface vibrations," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 10, p. 373, 1959.

[34] S.-H. Dong and J. García-Ravelo, "Exact solutions of the s-wave schrödinger equation with manning–rosen potential," *Phys. Scr.*, vol. 75, no. 3, p. 307, 2007.

[35] G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, New York, 1939.

[36] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integral, Series, and Products*. Academic, New York, 1980.

[37] A. R. Edmonds, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1957.

[38] D. Bonatsos, D. Lenis, N. Minkov, P. P. Raychev, and P. A. Terziev, "Sequence of potentials interpolating between the u(5) and e(5) symmetries," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 69, p. 044316, 2004. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.044316

[39] P. Buganu and R. Budaca, "Analytical solution for the davydov-chaban hamiltonian with a sextic potential," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 91, no. 1, p. 014306, 2015.

[40] M. Chabab, A. Lahbas, and M. Oulne, "Vibrational and rotational excited states within a bohr hamiltonian with a deformation-dependent mass formalism," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 91, p. 064307, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.064307

[41] R. Bijker, R. F. Casten, N. V. Zamfir, and E. A. McCutchan, "Test of x(5) for the γ degree of freedom," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 68, p. 064304, Dec 2003. [Online]. Available: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.064304

[42] Brookhaven national laboratory, national nuclear data center. [Online]. Available: http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nndc/ensdf/

Table 1: The values of free parameters of Manning-Rosen potential used in the calculations for nuclei in the γ-unstable, taken from [1]

nucleus	β_0	α	nucleus	β_0	α	nucleus	β_0	α	nucleus	β_0	α
^{98}Ru	8.46	0.008	^{106}Cd	4.34	0.927	^{122}Xe	5.28	0.971	^{152}Gd	7.52	0.009
^{100}Ru	11.60	0.009	^{108}Cd	8.74	0.016	^{124}Xe	5.18	0.959	^{154}Dy	10.44	0.01
^{102}Ru	8.46	0.008	^{110}Cd	6.98	0.001	^{128}Xe	6.08	0.114	^{156}Er	9.95	0.001
^{104}Ru	8.55	0.009	^{112}Cd	5.91	0.005	^{132}Xe	3.57	0.388	^{192}Pt	4.54	0.926
^{102}Pd	12.3	0.007	^{114}Cd	5.98	0.008	^{130}Ba	5.24	0.971	^{194}Pt	6.80	0.102
^{104}Pd	8.46	0.008	^{116}Cd	6.77	0.01	^{132}Ba	8.70	0.02	^{196}Pt	4.55	0.924
^{106}Pd	7.84	0.005	^{118}Cd	6.96	0.02	^{134}Ba	19.40	0.105	^{198}Pt	4.33	0.160
^{108}Pd	8.55	0.009	^{118}Xe	4.94	0.942	^{142}Ba	4.90	0.946			
^{110}Pd	0.00	0.001	^{120}Xe	5.55	0.970	^{148}Nd	8.73	0.017			

Table 2: The values of free parameters of Manning-Rosen potential used in the calculations for axially symmetric prolate deformed rare earth and actinide nuclei, taken from [1]

nucleus	β_0	α	c	nucleus	β_0	α	c	nucleus	β_0	α	c
^{150}Nd	6.70	0.006	3.5	^{166}Er	16.97	0.009	3.4	^{182}W	16.23	0.002	4.4
^{152}Sm	7.65	0.005	4.3	^{168}Er	12.11	0.003	3.6	^{184}W	9.95	0.001	3.1
^{154}Sm	14.03	0.003	7.2	^{170}Er	0.00	0.001	3.5	^{186}W	0.00	0.001	1.9
^{154}Gd	11.37	0.002	2.9	^{166}Yb	14.80	0.004	3.3	^{186}Os	10.39	0.002	2.4
^{156}Gd	16.24	0.002	5.0	^{168}Yb	21.05	0.002	3.8	^{188}Os	8.61	0.006	1.5
^{158}Gd	14.99	0.003	5.6	^{170}Yb	15.95	0.003	5.4	^{230}Th	18.16	0.003	5.2
^{156}Dy	9.08	0.004	2.5	^{172}Yb	14.89	0.001	7.2	^{232}Th	20.99	0.003	5.6
^{158}Dy	15.28	0.004	3.4	^{174}Yb	21.76	0.001	7.7	^{234}U	22.55	0.003	7.6
^{160}Dy	18.17	0.002	4.0	^{176}Yb	19.13	0.002	5.4	^{236}U	24.87	0.002	7.4
^{162}Dy	18.17	0.002	4.0	^{174}Hf	17.20	0.002	4.9	^{238}U	26.64	0.001	8.6
^{164}Dy	22.86	0.003	3.4	^{176}Hf	14.89	0.001	6.0	^{238}Pu	27.62	0.001	8.1
^{162}Er	13.32	0.002	3.5	^{178}Hf	15.26	0.002	4.6	^{250}Cf	25.68	0.005	8.4
^{164}Er	16.90	0.003	3.4	^{180}Hf	12.41	0.005	4.9				

Table 3: Comparison of experimental data (Exp) [42] for several B(E2) ratios of γ-unstable nuclei to predictions by the Bohr Hamiltonian with the Manning-Rosen potential (MR), Morse potential (M) [8], Davidson potential (D) [12] and Kratzer potential (K) [10].

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{0_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	rms
^{98}Ru	Exp	1.44(25)				1.62(61)	36.0(152)			
	MR	1.58	2.10	2.70	3.40	1.58	0.0	0.24	11.50	0.0498
	M	1.71	2.52	3.61	5.27	1.71	0.0	0.82	4.24	0.0942
	D	1.82	2.62	3.42	4.22	1.82	0.0	1.36	3.60	0.1420
	K	1.77	2.81	4.63	8.42	1.77	0.0	1.27	27.84	0.1198
^{100}Ru	Exp	1.45(13)				0.64(12)	41.1(52)	0.98(15)		
	MR	1.52	1.90	2.28	2.70	1.52	0.0	0.18	14.53	0.2980
	M	1.65	2.31	3.07	4.00	1.656	0.0	0.72	8.20	0.2669
	D	1.72	2.40	3.07	3.73	1.726	0.0	1.05	10.89	0.2801
	K	1.70	2.56	3.93	6.59	1.70	0.0	1.11	43.07	0.2740
^{102}Ru	Exp	1.50(24)				0.62(7)	24.8(7)	0.80(14)		
	MR	1.58	2.10	2.70	3.40	1.58	0.0	0.24	11.50	0.2773
	M	1.73	2.53	3.54	4.86	1.73	0.0	0.88	4.61	0.2823
	D	1.78	2.54	3.28	4.01	1.78	0.0	1.27	8.70	0.3189
	K	1.77	2.82	4.68	8.67	1.77	0.0	1.29	31.06	0.3179
^{104}Ru	Exp	1.18(28)				0.63(15)	35.0(84)	0.42(7)		
	MR	1.58	2.08	2.66	3.37	1.58	0.0	0.23	11.72	0.2619
	M	1.62	2.10	2.49	2.80	1.62	0.0	0.71	16.34	0.2795
	D	1.63	2.18	2.71	3.21	1.63	0.0	0.79	22.41	0.2883
	K	1.60	2.20	2.95	4.00	1.60	0.0	0.68	25.59	0.2713
^{102}Pd	Exp	1.56(19)				0.46(9)	128.8(735)			
	MR	1.50	1.88	2.24	2.62	1.50	0.0	0.17	14.71	0.3470
	M	1.68	2.35	3.07	3.86	1.68	0.0	0.80	8.20	0.4079
	D	1.76	2.49	3.19	3.87	1.76	0.0	1.22	12.34	0.4375
	K	1.63	2.31	3.25	4.77	1.63	0.0	0.87	41.64	0.3900
^{104}Pd	Exp	1.36(27)				0.61(8)	33.3(74)			
	MR	1.58	2.10	2.70	3.40	1.58	0.0	0.24	11.50	0.3289
	M	1.67	2.39	3.30	4.60	1.67	0.0	0.73	6.18	0.3655
	D	1.74	2.45	3.15	3.85	1.74	0.0	1.11	8.13	0.3947
	K	1.70	2.52	3.74	5.83	1.70	0.0	0.99	24.16	0.3779
^{106}Pd	Exp	1.63(28)				0.98(12)	26.2(31)	0.67(18)		
	MR	1.60	2.18	2.86	3.70	1.60	0.0	0.26	10.15	0.1861
	M	1.70	2.47	3.51	5.03	1.70	0.0	0.79	4.84	0.1830
	D	1.85	2.67	3.49	4.28	1.85	0.0	1.49	5.98	0.3033
	K	1.74	2.66	4.13	6.83	1.74	0.0	1.12	22.91	0.2220
^{108}Pd	Exp	1.47(20)	2.16(28)	2.99(48)		1.43(14)	16.6(18)	1.05(13)	1.09(29)	
	MR	1.58	2.08	2.66	3.37	1.58	0.0	0.23	11.72	0.1300
	M	1.68	2.32	2.95	3.53	1.68	0.0	0.85	9.45	0.0594
	D	1.75	2.45	3.12	3.75	1.75	0.0	1.20	15.82	0.0782
	K	1.66	2.38	3.42	5.11	1.66	0.0	0.89	30.31	0.0836
^{110}Pd	Exp	1.71(34)				0.98(24)	14.1(22)	0.64(10)		
	MR	1.28	1.40	1.46	1.50	1.28	0.0	4.04	860.20	0.8599
	D	1.76	2.43	3.01	3.51	1.76	0.0	1.31	26.24	0.2567

Table 3: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{0_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	rms
	K	1.60	2.18	2.94	4.06	1.60	0.0	0.75	42.18	0.1594
^{106}Cd	Exp	1.78(25)				0.43(12)	93.0(127)			
	MR	1.50	1.88	2.31	2.90	1.50	0.0	3.52	648.61	0.3698
	D	1.68	2.32	2.95	3.58	1.68	0.0	0.92	10.44	0.4188
	K	1.66	2.37	3.34	4.76	1.66	0.0	0.83	16.97	0.4128
^{108}Cd	Exp	1.54(24)				0.64(20)	67.7(120)			
	MR	1.56	2.05	2.58	3.24	1.56	0.0	0.22	12.37	0.3069
	M	1.69	2.46	3.48	5.00	1.69	0.0	0.77	4.99	0.3535
	D	1.85	2.69	3.52	4.35	1.85	0.0	1.49	4.06	0.4161
	K	1.67	2.40	3.43	5.01	1.67	0.0	0.87	19.88	0.3460
^{110}Cd	Exp	1.68(24)				1.09(19)	48.9(78)		9.85(595)	
	MR	1.64	2.32	3.15	4.26	1.64	0.0	0.29	7.77	0.1380
	M	1.74	2.62	3.90	6.05	1.74	0.0	0.84	2.76	0.1631
	D	1.99	2.97	3.93	4.87	1.99	0.0	1.98	1.61	0.2377
	K	1.85	3.14	5.63	11.54	1.85	0.0	1.52	20.99	0.1945
^{112}Cd	Exp	2.02(22)				0.50(10)	19.9(35)	1.69(48)	11.26(210)	
	MR	1.72	2.53	3.62	5.10	1.72	0.0	0.33	4.76	0.3710
	M	1.76	2.70	4.20	4.97	1.76	0.0	0.81	1.56	0.3122
	D	2.00	2.99	3.98	4.96	2.00	0.0	1.99	0.48	0.3056
	K	1.95	3.53	6.92	15.92	1.95	0.0	1.82	12.87	0.2913
^{114}Cd	Exp	1.99(25)	3.83(72)	2.73(97)		0.71(24)	15.4(29)	0.88(11)	10.61(193)	
	MR	1.70	2.50	3.55	4.98	1.70	0.0	0.32	5.16	0.2792
	M	1.78	2.79	4.42	3.44	1.78	0.0	0.85	0.99	0.3228
	D	2.00	2.99	3.97	4.94	2.00	0.0	1.99	0.74	0.3232
	K	1.93	3.46	6.72	15.44	1.93	0.0	1.77	15.44	0.6105
^{116}Cd	Exp	1.70(52)				0.63(46)	32.8(86)	0.02		
	MR	1.64	2.32	3.15	4.26	1.64	0.0	0.29	7.75	0.2609
	M	1.66	2.39	3.43	5.00	1.66	0.0	0.63	4.55	0.2986
	D	1.74	2.46	3.17	3.90	1.74	0.0	1.11	4.42	0.3883
	K	1.69	2.47	3.52	5.05	1.69	0.0	0.90	10.02	0.3437
^{118}Cd	Exp	1.85						0.16		
	MR	1.63	2.26	3.04	4.04	1.63	0.0	0.28	8.60	0.1253
	M	1.66	2.38	3.42	4.98	1.66	0.0	0.62	4.60	0.2488
	D	1.71	2.39	3.06	3.74	1.71	0.0	1.00	5.88	0.4258
	K	1.70	2.51	3.65	5.41	1.70	0.0	0.95	13.14	0.4021
^{118}Xe	Exp	1.11(7)	0.88(27)	0.49(20)	0.73					
	MR	1.46	1.76	2.02	2.27	1.46	0.0	3.29	628.38	0.5913
	M	1.65	2.19	2.65	3.03	1.65	0.0	0.78	13.52	0.8639
	D	1.67	2.28	2.85	3.39	1.67	0.0	0.95	21.93	0.9648
	K	1.61	2.21	3.00	4.17	1.61	0.0	0.74	34.42	1.1216
^{120}Xe	Exp	1.16(14)	1.17(24)	0.96(22)	0.91(19)					
	MR	1.44	1.72	1.91	2.08	1.44	0.0	3.24	635.04	0.4062
	M	1.58	2.05	2.49	2.90	1.58	0.0	0.54	15.06	0.6723
	D	1.60	2.11	2.60	3.08	1.60	0.0	0.67	21.51	0.7269
	K	1.56	2.06	2.64	3.43	1.56	0.0	0.62	42.25	0.7946

Table 3: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{0_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	rms
^{122}Xe	Exp	1.47(38)	0.89(26)	0.44						
	MR	1.44	1.73	1.94	2.14	1.44	0.0	3.32	646.12	0.5727
	D	1.58	2.05	2.48	2.89	1.58	0.0	0.63	29.29	0.7826
	K	1.54	2.00	2.52	3.17	1.54	0.0	0.54	36.27	0.7858
^{124}Xe	Exp	1.34(24)	1.59(17)	0.63(29)	0.29(8)	0.70(19)	15.9(46)			
	MR	1.46	1.73	1.96	2.18	1.46	0.0	3.29	637.34	0.4052
	M	1.57	2.05	2.50	2.92	1.57	0.0	0.54	14.87	0.5622
	D	1.59	2.09	2.57	3.04	1.59	0.0	0.63	20.14	0.5862
	K	1.55	2.03	2.57	3.25	1.55	0.0	0.53	28.40	0.6106
^{128}Xe	Exp	1.47(20)	1.94(26)	2.39(40)	2.74(114)	1.19(19)	15.9(23)			
	MR	1.60	2.16	2.86	3.76	1.60	0.0	0.25	10.10	0.2031
	M	1.60	2.19	2.90	3.89	1.60	0.0	0.55	8.95	0.2249
	D	1.63	2.20	2.75	3.31	1.63	0.0	0.73	9.64	0.1428
	K	1.83	2.95	4.73	7.64	1.83	0.0	0.75	12.57	0.9281
^{132}Xe	Exp	1.24(18)				1.77(29)	3.4(7)			
	MR	1.78	2.80	0.36	0.34	1.78	0.0	0.22	1.07	0.1794
	D	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	2.00	0.0	2.00	0.00	0.2638
	K	2.78	7.13	17.89	43.35	2.78	0.0	2.49	0.07	0.6129
^{130}Ba	Exp	1.36(6)	1.62(15)	1.55(56)	0.93(15)					
	MR	1.46	1.73	1.94	2.14	1.46	0.0	3.33	647.59	0.3191
	M	1.66	2.28	2.90	3.50	1.66	0.0	0.78	10.07	0.7469
	D	1.56	2.01	2.41	2.77	1.56	0.0	0.61	34.54	0.5183
	K	1.54	2.01	2.54	3.22	1.54	0.0	0.56	39.43	0.6318
^{132}Ba	Exp					3.35(64)	90.7(177)			
	MR	1.56	2.05	2.56	3.20	1.56	0.0	0.22	12.50	0.8993
	M	1.48	1.84	2.19	2.66	1.48	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.9393
	D	1.68	2.30	2.90	3.50	1.68	0.0	0.92	15.21	0.8394
	K	1.61	2.20	2.94	3.95	1.61	0.0	0.66	20.59	0.8744
^{134}Ba	Exp	1.55(21)				2.17(69)	12.5(41)			
	MR	1.43	1.68	1.86	1.98	1.43	0.0	0.05	8.86	0.2523
	M	1.70	2.53	3.81	1.96	1.70	0.0	0.68	2.48	0.1665
	D	1.75	2.48	3.21	3.94	1.75	0.0	1.14	4.08	0.1569
	K	2.13	4.10	7.88	15.19	2.13	0.0	1.26	6.22	0.1933
^{142}Ba	Exp	1.40(17)	0.56(14)							
	MR	1.46	1.76	2.02	2.29	1.46	0.0	3.33	635.55	0.6000
	M	1.50	1.89	2.30	2.88	1.50	0.0	0.11	2.37	0.6661
	D	1.55	2.00	2.41	2.82	1.55	0.0	0.49	18.60	0.7231
	K	1.54	1.99	2.46	3.04	1.54	0.0	0.45	21.34	0.7176
^{148}Nd	Exp	1.61(13)	1.76(19)			0.25(4)	9.3(17)	0.54(6)	32.82(816)	
	MR	1.56	2.05	2.58	3.22	1.56	0.0	0.22	12.41	0.2296
	M	1.63	2.14	2.56	2.89	1.63	0.0	0.73	15.09	0.2398
	D	1.63	2.17	2.68	3.15	1.63	0.0	0.81	26.86	0.2432
	K	1.57	2.08	2.67	3.47	1.57	0.0	0.59	30.88	0.2259
^{152}Gd	Exp	1.84(29)	2.74(81)			0.23(4)	4.2(8)	2.47(78)		
	MR	1.62	2.20	2.91	3.81	1.62	0.0	0.26	9.67	0.5363
	M	1.71	2.47	3.39	4.54	1.71	0.0	0.85	5.63	0.4438

Table 3: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{0_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	rms
	D	1.98	2.92	3.81	4.65	1.98	0.0	1.95	4.51	0.3674
	K	1.80	2.96	5.14	10.30	1.80	0.0	1.41	32.70	0.3815
^{154}Dy	Exp	1.62(35)	2.05(42)	2.27(62)	1.86(69)					
	MR	1.53	1.95	2.38	2.87	1.53	0.0	0.19	13.92	0.2545
	M	1.67	2.37	3.20	4.25	1.67	0.0	0.76	7.08	0.6439
	D	1.91	2.79	3.64	4.46	1.91	0.0	1.70	5.41	0.7586
	K	1.78	2.89	5.06	10.73	1.78	0.0	1.46	58.09	2.3322
^{156}Er	Exp	1.78(16)	1.89(36)	0.76(20)	0.88(22)					
	MR	1.54	2.00	2.50	3.06	1.54	0.0	0.21	13.12	0.6997
	M	1.62	2.23	2.91	3.74	1.62	0.0	0.64	9.60	0.8986
	D	1.70	2.35	3.00	3.64	1.70	0.0	0.98	11.50	0.8954
	K	1.64	2.33	3.27	4.73	1.64	0.0	0.83	28.76	1.1540
^{192}Pt	Exp	1.56(12)	1.23(55)			1.91(16)	9.5(9)			
	MR	1.48	1.83	2.18	2.62	1.48	0.0	3.40	632.98	0.1848
	M	1.58	2.08	2.55	3.00	1.58	0.0	0.56	14.25	0.2269
	D	1.59	2.09	2.57	3.05	1.59	0.0	0.61	16.98	0.2284
	K	1.57	2.09	2.68	3.44	1.57	0.0	0.54	17.79	0.2301
^{194}Pt	Exp	1.73(13)	1.36(45)	1.02(30)	0.69	1.81(25)	5.9(9)	0.01		
	MR	1.58	2.06	2.64	3.35	1.58	0.0	0.22	11.82	0.4564
	M	1.58	2.06	2.49	2.88	1.58	0.0	0.58	15.34	0.3829
	D	1.59	2.09	2.57	3.04	1.59	0.0	0.63	19.78	0.4073
	K	1.56	2.07	2.63	3.34	1.56	0.0	0.52	19.45	0.4503
^{196}Pt	Exp	1.48(3)	1.80(23)	1.92(23)			0.4	0.07(4)	0.06(6)	
	MR	1.48	1.83	2.18	2.62	1.48	0.0	3.38	629.69	0.5626
	M	1.63	2.14	2.57	2.93	1.63	0.0	0.72	14.74	0.1644
	D	1.64	2.21	2.75	3.28	1.64	0.0	0.82	20.83	0.1995
	K	1.61	2.21	2.97	4.04	1.61	0.0	0.69	23.11	0.2147
^{198}Pt	Exp	1.19(13)	1.78			1.16(23)	1.2(4)	0.81(22)	1.56(126)	
	MR	1.74	2.64	4.00	6.20	1.74	0.0	0.33	3.27	0.2113
	M	1.72	2.48	3.35	4.36	1.72	0.0	0.89	5.78	0.1737
	D	1.82	2.60	3.36	4.08	1.82	0.0	1.41	10.09	0.2272
	K	1.76	2.73	4.24	6.76	1.76	0.0	1.16	11.09	0.2176

Table 4: Comparison of experimental data [42] (upper line) for several B(E2) ratios of axially symmetric prolate deformed nuclei to predictions (lower line) by the Bohr Hamiltonian with the Manning-Rosen potential (MR), Morse potential (M) [8], Davidson potential (D) [12] and Kratzer potential (K) [10].

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_1 \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g} \times 10^3$	rms
^{150}Nd	Exp	1.52(4)	1.84(14)	2.05(13)		4.4(8)	61.7(98)	174(55)	26.1(22)	49.6(26)	14.8(98)	
	MR	1.53	1.91	2.36	2.97	25.36	69.51	349.03	6.25	136.16	8.07	0.2176
	K	1.55	1.98	2.55	3.40	36.4	93.5	443	95.9	150.0	9.0	0.0664
^{152}Sm	Exp	1.45(5)	1.70(7)	1.98(14)	2.22(25)	6.4(7)	38.2(43)	132(15)	25.1(17)	64.6(48)	5.4(5)	
	MR	1.51	1.84	2.22	2.70	25.17	62.89	284.15	69.86	107.33	6.22	0.0566
	K	1.55	1.98	2.58	3.53	49.1	113.4	475	84.0	130.9	7.8	0.1501
^{154}Sm	Exp	1.40(5)	1.67(7)	1.83(11)	1.81(11)	5.4(13)		25(6)	18.4(34)		3.9(7)	
	MR	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.05	20.83	40.11	127.16	43.52	63.90	3.39	0.0336
	M	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.03	21.1	39.8	122	47.3	69.2	3.6	0.0311
	D	1.47	1.69	1.87	2.06	26.7	50.0	150	47.5	69.6	3.7	0.0364
	K	1.46	1.67	1.86	2.05	24.7	45.7	136	47.8	69.9	3.7	0.0341
^{154}Gd	Exp	1.56(7)	1.82(11)	1.99(12)	2.29(27)	5.5(5)	42.7(41)	125(11)	36.3(34)	78.3(69)	11.0(10)	
	MR	1.48	1.74	1.99	2.28	23.43	50.01	184.53	116.96	172.02	9.12	0.0183
	K	1.55	1.98	2.57	3.54	55.4	122.5	486	114.7	175.6	10.1	0.1439
^{156}Gd	Exp	1.41(5)	1.58(6)	1.71(10)	1.68(9)	3.4(3)	18(2)	22(2)	25.0(15)	38.7(24)	4.1(3)	
	MR	1.46	1.66	1.82	1.99	19.71	36.77	110.87	67.06	97.41	5.05	0.0352
	M	1.47	1.70	1.90	2.12	22.5	44.3	145	63.0	92.4	4.9	0.0508
	D	1.48	1.73	1.95	2.18	29.7	59.1	191	62.5	92.4	4.9	0.0599
	K	1.47	1.69	1.90	2.13	30.8	56.5	166	70.7	103.3	5.4	0.0523
^{158}Gd	Exp	1.46(5)		1.67(16)	1.72(16)	1.6(2)	0.4(1)	7.0(8)	17.2(20)	30.3(45)	1.4(2)	
	MR	1.46	1.67	1.84	2.03	20.39	38.75	120.37	58.94	85.98	4.50	0.0420
	M	1.45	1.64	1.79	1.95	14.6	26.7	79	63.3	91.7	4.7	0.0311
	D	1.46	1.66	1.82	1.98	25.7	45.9	127	64.0	93.0	4.8	0.0372
	K	1.45	1.66	1.82	1.98	24.1	42.8	119	63.9	92.6	4.8	0.0368
^{156}Dy	Exp	1.75(14)	1.34(12)	1.94(13)	2.45(21)				48.2(35)	63.0(78)	84.4(141)	
	MR	1.50	1.81	2.14	2.56	24.86	59.14	251.74	135.31	201.86	10.99	0.0865
	K	1.56	2.03	2.70	3.83	53.2	124.3	531	151.8	232.6	13.4	0.2487
^{158}Dy	Exp	1.45(10)	1.86(12)	1.86(38)	1.75(28)	12(3)	19(4)	66(16)	32.2(78)	103.8(258)	11.5(48)	
	MR	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.03	20.40	38.78	120.48	99.94	145.02	7.49	0.0351
	M	1.48	1.73	1.98	2.26	23.5	49.0	175	95.1	140.1	7.5	0.0554
	D	1.50	1.78	2.04	2.31	30.5	65.4	232	88.5	131.7	7.1	0.0620
	K	1.48	1.73	1.98	2.28	32.5	63.0	202	97.9	143.6	7.6	0.0580
^{160}Dy	Exp	1.46(7)	1.23(7)	1.70(16)	1.69(9)	3.4(4)		8.5(10)	23.2(21)	43.8(42)	3.1(3)	
	MR	1.45	1.65	1.79	1.94	18.59	33.73	97.21	84.80	122.55	6.28	0.0561
	M	1.46	1.67	1.84	2.02	20.9	39.3	120	82.7	120.1	6.2	0.0640
	D	1.46	1.68	1.85	2.03	22.9	43.5	133	78.6	114.5	6.0	0.0659
	K	1.46	1.66	1.83	2.00	23.5	42.5	122	87.4	126.6	6.5	0.0619
^{162}Dy	Exp	1.45(7)	1.51(10)	1.74(10)	1.76(13)				0.12(1)	0.20	0.02	
	MR	1.45	1.65	1.79	1.94	18.59	33.73	97.21	84.80	122.55	6.28	0.0394
	M	1.45	1.62	1.76	1.88	11.4	20.1	56	94.3	135.6	6.9	0.0331
	D	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.95	23.9	42.4	116	89.8	129.8	6.7	0.0412
	K	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.95	23.7	41.4	112	92.3	133.2	6.8	0.0416
^{164}Dy	Exp	1.30(7)	1.56(7)	1.48(9)	1.69(9)				19.1(22)	38.3(39)	4.6(5)	
	MR	1.44	1.61	1.74	1.85	15.91	27.35	71.78	99.64	143.21	7.26	0.0501
	M	1.44	1.62	1.74	1.85	16.1	27.6	72	99.7	143.2	7.3	0.0503
	D	1.44	1.62	1.75	1.86	16.9	29.1	77	99.7	143.4	7.3	0.0519
	K	1.45	1.64	1.79	1.93	23.6	40.6	107	100.4	144.7	7.4	0.0619
^{162}Er	Exp					8(7)		170(90)	32.5(28)	77.0(56)	9.4(69)	
	MR	1.47	1.70	1.90	2.13	21.93	43.82	146.95	96.69	141.23	7.40	0.0190

Table 4: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_1 \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	rms
	M	1.47	1.72	1.95	2.21	22.0	45.1	158	96.6	141.7	7.5	0.0186
	D	1.49	1.75	1.99	2.24	27.8	58.3	202	91.1	134.8	7.2	0.0180
	K	1.48	1.73	1.97	2.25	28.9	57.1	189	100.4	147.1	7.8	0.0202
^{164}Er	Exp	1.18(13)		1.57(9)	1.64(11)				23.9(35)	52.3(72)	7.8(12)	
	MR	1.46	1.66	1.81	1.98	19.36	35.81	106.42	99.97	144.61	7.42	0.0850
	M	1.47	1.69	1.87	2.05	23.4	44.8	139	103.3	150.3	7.8	0.0988
	D	1.47	1.70	1.89	2.09	28.3	53.5	162	103.8	151.2	7.9	0.1051
	K	1.46	1.67	1.86	2.05	27.0	49.0	141	110.5	160.2	8.2	0.0976
^{166}Er	Exp	1.45(12)	1.62(22)	1.71(25)	1.73(23)				25.7(31)	45.3(54)	3.1(4)	
	MR	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.95	18.77	34.22	99.34	99.95	144.37	7.39	0.0381
	M	1.45	1.64	1.78	1.92	17.3	30.9	88	104.5	150.7	7.7	0.0342
	D	1.46	1.66	1.81	1.96	20.7	38.2	111	100.0	144.8	7.4	0.0400
^{168}Er	Exp	1.54(7)	2.13(16)	1.69(11)	1.46(11)				23.2(15)	41.1(31)	3.0(3)	
	MR	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.94	18.63	33.83	97.64	94.35	136.28	6.98	0.1007
	M	1.44	1.61	1.73	1.84	1.8	3.1	8	101.1	145.1	7.3	0.0953
	D	1.45	1.65	1.79	1.93	27.7	47.2	120	100.6	145.1	7.4	0.0998
	K	1.45	1.64	1.78	1.92	27.6	46.2	116	100.6	144.9	7.4	0.0996
^{170}Er	Exp			1.78(15)	1.54(11)	1.4(1)	0.2(2)	6.8(12)	17.7(9)		1.4(4)	
	MR	1.41	1.53	1.57	1.58	645.85	913.53	1608.76	91.50	130.70	6.53	0.2809
	M	1.46	1.68	1.84	1.98	28.1	50.6	139	76.1	110.6	5.7	0.0670
	D	1.47	1.69	1.86	2.03	39.2	67.9	177	78.6	114.2	5.9	0.0761
	K	1.46	1.66	1.83	2.01	42.8	70.7	173	84.6	122.2	6.3	0.0730
^{166}Yb	Exp	1.43(9)	1.53(10)	1.70(18)	1.61(80)							
	MR	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.05	20.75	39.85	125.85	103.00	149.60	7.74	0.1210
	M	1.48	1.73	1.97	2.23	24.3	50.3	176	101.4	149.0	7.9	0.1746
	D	1.50	1.78	2.05	2.33	33.7	71.0	245	97.2	144.5	7.8	0.2083
	K	1.48	1.73	1.97	2.27	35.4	66.7	206	115.2	168.2	8.8	0.1834
^{168}Yb	Exp					8.6(9)			22.0(55)	45.9(73)	8.6	
	MR	1.45	1.63	1.76	1.88	16.94	29.69	80.63	89.27	128.55	6.54	0.0267
	M	1.47	1.70	1.90	2.11	23.3	45.7	148	84.6	123.7	6.5	0.0252
	D	1.48	1.72	1.93	2.14	29.6	57.5	180	82.9	121.6	6.4	0.0248
	K	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.06	26.3	48.2	142	87.6	127.2	6.6	0.0265
^{170}Yb	Exp			1.79(16)	1.77(14)	5.4(10)			13.4(34)	23.9(57)	2.4(6)	
	MR	1.46	1.66	1.83	1.99	19.77	36.95	111.68	61.71	89.74	4.66	0.0395
	M	1.44	1.64	1.78	1.93	8.3	15.1	44	73.6	106.3	5.4	0.0315
	D	1.47	1.71	1.91	2.12	30.6	58.2	176	66.2	97.1	5.1	0.0633
	K	1.47	1.69	1.90	2.13	31.9	58.0	168	69.4	101.3	5.3	0.0646
^{172}Yb	Exp	1.42(10)	1.51(14)	1.89(19)	1.77(11)	1.1(1)	3.7(6)	12(1)	6.3(6)		0.6(1)	
	MR	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.03	20.40	38.78	120.49	44.00	64.44	3.40	0.0369
	M	1.46	1.67	1.83	1.99	23.6	43.1	124	48.7	70.9	3.7	0.0342
	D	1.46	1.67	1.83	1.99	32.2	55.9	147	51.6	75.0	3.9	0.0355
	K	1.46	1.66	1.83	2.01	29.6	51.6	139	51.0	74.2	3.8	0.0361
^{174}Yb	Exp	1.39(7)	1.84(26)	1.93(12)	1.67(12)					12.4(29)		
	MR	1.45	1.63	1.77	1.90	17.43	30.84	85.17	42.72	61.86	3.19	0.0718
	M	1.45	1.63	1.75	1.86	18.9	32.3	88	44.6	64.3	3.3	0.0690
	D	1.45	1.63	1.75	1.86	20.9	35.1	88	45.0	64.9	3.3	0.0691
	K	1.44	1.62	1.74	1.86	20.6	34.3	85	45.7	65.8	3.4	0.0711
^{176}Yb	Exp	1.49(15)	1.63(14)	1.65(28)	1.76(18)				9.8			
	MR	1.45	1.64	1.78	1.91	17.89	31.96	89.72	62.34	90.11	4.63	0.0413
	M	1.44	1.62	1.74	1.87	0.5	1.0	3 65.1	93.7	4.8	0.0317	
	D	1.46	1.66	1.82	1.97	27.9	49.0	132	63.1	91.6	4.7	0.0551
	K	1.45	1.65	1.81	1.97	28.6	49.0	128	64.5	93.4	4.8	0.0541
^{174}Hf	Exp					14(4)		9(3)	31.6(161)	48.7(124)		

Table 4: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_1 \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	rms
	MR	1.45	1.65	1.81	1.96	19.10	35.09	103.15	68.71	99.59	5.14	0.0283
	M	1.46	1.66	1.81	1.97	20.3	37.3	109	65.4	94.9	4.9	0.0288
	D	1.48	1.74	1.96	2.20	31.4	62.2	200	66.9	98.8	5.3	0.0503
	K	1.49	1.76	2.05	2.42	47.1	87.5	264	69.7	102.9	5.5	0.0663
^{176}Hf	Exp					5.4(11)		31(6)	21.3(26)			
	MR	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.04	20.55	39.25	122.82	54.41	79.50	4.17	0.0327
	M	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.04	23.3	43.9	133	57.0	83.2	4.4	0.0363
	D	1.47	1.70	1.89	2.09	30.8	57.3	169	57.9	84.9	4.5	0.0481
	K	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.06	29.1	52.2	148	60.3	87.8	4.6	0.0417
^{178}Hf	Exp		1.38(9)	1.49(6)	1.62(7)	0.4(2)		2.4(9)	24.5(39)	27.7(28)	1.6(2)	
	MR	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.03	20.41	38.80	120.62	72.99	106.23	5.53	0,0780
	M	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.04	23.3	44.3	136	73.5	107.2	5.6	0,0805
	D	1.47	1.69	1.88	2.07	28.4	53.1	158	73.8	107.8	5.6	0,0855
	K	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.06	27.1	49.2	142	75.7	110.0	5.7	0,0824
^{180}Hf	Exp	1.48(20)	1.41(15)	1.61(26)	1.55(10)				24.5(47)	32.9(56)		
	MR	1.47	1.70	1.92	2.16	22.20	44.81	152.59	66.88	98.36	5.23	0.1241
	D	1.46	1.66	1.82	1.98	34.9	59.5	151	78.4	113.4	5.8	0.0911
	K	1.46	1.66	1.83	2.00	34.6	58.6	150	80.3	116.0	6.0	0.0944
^{182}W	Exp	1.43(8)	1.46(16)	1.53(14)	1.48(14)	6.6(6)	4.6(6)	13(1)	24.8(12)	24.8(12)	0.2	
	MR	1.46	1.66	1.83	2.00	19.78	36.97	111.79	76.71	111.32	5.76	0.0647
	M	1.46	1.68	1.85	2.00	25.7	47.4	137	79.3	115.4	6.0	0.0669
	D	1.47	1.69	1.87	2.04	32.5	58.3	162	79.9	116.2	6.0	0.0719
	K	1.46	1.67	1.85	2.05	33.0	57.5	155	82.0	118.9	6.1	0.0710
^{184}W	Exp	1.35(12)	1.54(9)	2.00(18)	2.45(51)	1.8(3)		24(3)	37.1(28)	70.6(51)	4.0(4)	
	MR	1.49	1.78	2.07	2.43	24.36	55.19	220.78	108.02	160.65	8.71	0.0401
	M	1.48	1.71	1.88	2.04	30.5	57.4	167	124.8	182.0	9.4	0.0575
	D	1.48	1.73	1.95	2.16	40.7	75.2	216	128.3	187.3	9.8	0.0494
	K	1.48	1.73	1.97	2.27	38.9	71.8	214	128.4	187.1	9.8	0.0420
^{186}W	Exp	1.30(9)	1.69(12)	1.60(12)	1.36(36)				41.7(92)	91.0(201)		
	MR	1.39	1.49	1.52	1.52	726.29	1022.06	1780.46	168.58	240.37	11.94	0.0569
	M	1.49	1.76	1.99	2.20	31.4	64.7	213	164.0	241.2	12.7	0.1604
	D	1.51	1.80	2.07	2.34	46.2	91.9	289	165.7	244.5	12.9	0.1874
	K	1.49	1.77	2.08	2.48	47.3	89.1	275	174.0	254.4	13.3	0.2081
^{186}Os	Exp	1.45(7)	1.99(7)	1.89(11)	2.06(44)				109.4(71)	254.6(150)	13.0(47)	
	MR	1.49	1.77	2.05	2.40	24.18	54.05	212.38	141.94	209.52	11.18	0.0629
	M	1.50	1.80	2.11	2.45	26.2	59.5	235	163.5	242.2	13.0	0.0700
	D	1.53	1.87	2.20	2.55	39.7	90.2	335	164.9	247.4	13.4	0.0852
	K	1.50	1.81	2.16	2.63	39.2	81.0	288	173.5	255.9	13.6	0.0940
^{188}Os	Exp	1.68(11)	1.75(11)	2.04(15)	2.38(32)				63.3(92)	202.5(304)	43.0(74)	
	MR	1.51	1.84	2.22	2.69	25.17	62.78	283.13	228.55	339.59	18.25	0.0654
	M	1.51	1.84	2.22	2.73	23.0	56.7	257	245.3	363.5	19.4	0.0713
	D	1.54	1.89	2.25	2.63	33.9	83.9	344	229.8	345.2	18.7	0.0626
	K	1.52	1.87	2.29	2.87	33.6	78.5	330	246.6	366.2	19.7	0.0903
^{230}Th	Exp	1.36(8)				5.7(26)		20(11)	15.6(59)	28.1(100)	1.8(11)	
	MR	1.45	1.64	1.79	1.93	18.38	33.20	94.91	64.73	93.67	4.82	0.0231
	M	1.47	1.69	1.88	2.07	23.6	45.3	141	62.5	91.4	4.8	0.0296
	D	1.47	1.70	1.90	2.09	30.0	56.4	168	63.6	93.2	4.9	0.0331
	K	1.46	1.68	1.86	2.07	31.4	55.6	155	66.9	97.3	5.1	0.0311
^{232}Th	Exp	1.44(15)	1.65(14)	1.73(12)	1.82(15)	14(6)	2.6(13)	17(8)	14.6(28)	36.4(56)	0.7	
	MR	1.45	1.63	1.76	1.88	16.73	29.21	78.75	60.22	86.84	4.43	0.0118
	M	1.46	1.66	1.82	1.98	21.3	39.1	115	56.7	82.4	4.3	0.0219
	D	1.46	1.67	1.84	2.01	25.8	47.1	135	57.0	83.0	4.3	0.0261
	K	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.96	26.0	44.9	119	61.9	89.6	4.6	0.0203

Table 4: (continued)

nucl.		$\frac{4_g \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{6_g \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{8_g \to 6_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{10_g \to 8_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 2_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\beta \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 0_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 2_g}{2_1 \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	$\frac{2_\gamma \to 4_g}{2_g \to 0_g}$ $\times 10^3$	rms
^{234}U	Exp								12.5(27)	21.1(44)	1.2(3)	
	MR	1.44	1.62	1.74	1.85	15.82	27.15	71.03	43.90	63.30	3.23	0.0175
	M	1.45	1.63	1.77	1.89	18.5	32.4	88	42.8	62.0	3.2	0.0170
	D	1.45	1.64	1.78	1.90	20.7	36.1	97	42.7	61.8	3.2	0.0169
	K	1.45	1.65	1.80	1.96	26.0	44.9	119	61.9	89.6	4.6	0.0282
^{236}U	Exp	1.42(11)	1.55(11)	1.59(17)	1.46(17)							
	MR	1.44	1.61	1.73	1.83	14.90	25.17	64.00	45.34	65.25	3.32	0.0996
	M	1.45	1.63	1.75	1.87	17.6	30.4	80	44.6	64.4	3.3	0.1115
	D	1.45	1.63	1.76	1.87	19.3	33.2	87	44.7	64.5	3.3	0.1124
	K	1.44	1.62	1.75	1.86	19.5	32.7	82	45.5	65.6	3.3	0.1086
^{238}U	Exp			1.45(23)	1.71(22)	1.4(6)	3.6(14)	12(5)	10.8(8)	18.9(17)	1.2(1)	
	MR	1.44	1.62	1.73	1.83	15.23	25.88	66.47	38.61	55.65	2.84	0.0389
	M	1.45	1.62	1.75	1.86	17.2	29.6	77	37.7	54.4	2.8	0.0429
	D	1.45	1.63	1.75	1.86	18.9	32.3	83	37.7	54.5	2.8	0.0430
	K	1.44	1.62	1.74	1.85	19.3	32.3	80	39.4	56.8	2.9	0.0414
^{238}Pu	Exp					14(4)		11(4)				
	MR	1.44	1.61	1.73	1.83	14.88	25.13	63.88	41.24	59.38	3.02	0.0262
	M	1.44	1.60	1.70	1.78	6.1	10.0	24	42.4	60.8	3.1	0.0075
	D	1.44	1.62	1.73	1.84	19.1	31.7	78	41.6	59.9	3.0	0.0334
	K	1.44	1.61	1.73	1.82	18.8	30.8	74	42.2	60.7	3.1	0.0314
^{250}Cf	Exp								6.8(17)	10.9(25)	0.6(1)	
	MR	1.44	1.61	1.72	1.81	14.13	23.58	58.63	39.83	57.29	2.91	0.0190
	M	1.44	1.60	1.71	1.80	13.0	21.6	53	40.1	57.7	2.9	0.0192
	D	1.44	1.61	1.72	1.81	15.0	24.9	61	40.0	57.5	2.9	0.0191